Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment /Unit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
LDA2017/0388	5	22831		51	Shaftsbury Road	Denistone	2114	3: Residential - New second occupancy	RLEP 2014	R2 Low Density Residenti al	4.1B Minimum lot sizes for dual occupancies and multi dwelling housing	Strict application of the 20 metres frontage for dual occupancy development is considered unnecessary in this particular case. This is a negligible difference and will not compromise the objectives of the DCP.	0.3%	Council	5/02/2018
LDA2017/0107	1	609711		137 - 143	Herring Road	Macquarie Park	2113	4: Residential - New multi unit	RLEP 2014	B4 Mixed Use	4.4 Floor space ratio	The assessment found the development to be consistent with the scale and intensity of development that the community can reasonably expect to be provided on this site and within the respective zone and is therefore considered, in its current form, to be in the public interest.	9.90%	Sydney North Planning Panel	16/02/2018
LDA2017/0118	A	411074		40	Frances Road	Putney	2112	1: Residential - Alterations & additions	RLEP 2014	R2 Low Density Residenti al	4.4 Floor space ratio	The subject site is capable of providing the non-compliant gross floor area without negatively impacting on the visual amenity and character of the land. The proposal does not adversely impact on any neighbouring properties as the floor area of the lower ground floor is largely located below existing ground level. The proposal does not create an undesirable precedent.	3.88%	Council	19/02/2018

LDA2016/0359	200	1006373		39-41	Devlin Street	Ryde	2112	9: Mixed	RLEP 2014	B4 Mixed Use	4.3 Height of buildings	The characteristics of the site create challenges and flexibility can be afforded to the development. The proposed height breach will not adversly impact surrounding residential development and the distribution of building mass across the site with focus at the corner achieves a suitable built form for the locality, recognized as a better outcome than a compliant scheme. Sufficient environmental planning grounds justify the variation and is supported in planning terms and the Urban Design Review Panel has also provided support for the variation.	36.50%	Sydney North Planning Panel	28/02/2018
--------------	-----	---------	--	-------	---------------	------	------	----------	-----------	-----------------	-------------------------	--	--------	-----------------------------	------------