Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment/U nit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmenta I planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
LDA2016/0628	22 & 23	8043		175-177	Shaftsbury Road	Eastwood	2122	4: Residential - New multi unit	RLEP 2014	R4 High Density Residenti al	4.3 Height of Buildings	The site is considered suitable for the development given its proximity to the Eastwood Train Station and Eastwood Town Centre. The additional height does not result in any additional yield and does not result in an additional storey. The purpose of the standard is still considered relevant to the proposal, however 100% compliance in this circumstance is considered unreasonable.	4.69%	Ryde Local Planning Panel (IHAP)	10/05/2018
LDA2017/0191		SP 16430		140-144	Culloden Road	Marsfield	2122	4: Residential - New multi unit	RLEP 2014	R4 High Density Residenti al	4.3 Height of Buildings	The height exceedance is not considered to have an unreasonable impact to adjoining properties in terms of overshadowing or overlooking nor is the development out of character with the immediate area. Accordingly, given the circumstance of the case, the variation is supported.	9.4% to 15.7%	Ryde Local Planning Panel (IHAP)	12/04/2018
LDA2017/0333	1	524189		29	Hermitage Road	West Ryde	2114	3: Residential - New second occupancy	RLEP 2014	R2 Low Density Residenti al	4.1B Minimum lot size	The variation sought is less than 1 % and will not result in any unreasonable additional adverse impacts upon the amenity of adjoining properties. The ability to develop the site and otherwise generally comply in all respects with Council's LEP and DCP are not in any noticeable way prejudiced by the minor shortfall in site area. The proposed variation is considered to be consistent with the zone and development objectives as it does not restrict the future planned residential density in the R2 zone. Therefore, a variation to the minimum lot size is considered reasonable.	0.79%	Council	10/04/2018
LDA2017/0485	125	24389		95	Morrison Road	Gladesville	2111	3: Residential - New second occupancy	RLEP 2014	R2 Low Density Residenti al	4.4 Floor Space Ratio	To ensure compliance would not necessarily result in a better design outcome for the site, particularly given the prominent location of the site on a corner and its importance in establishing the streetscape. The proposal is considered to generally satisfactory with regards to the objectives of the floor space ratio control and the R2 Low Density Residential zone and as such, the proposed variation is supported.	6.20%	Council	25/06/2018