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1. Synopsis

This report advises and concludes that one (1) *x Cupressocyparis leylandii* Leyland Cypress located on the proposed development site cannot be preserved as part of the proposed development for the site. This report has been based on the plans forwarded to me by the client in Appendix 4.

**Aboricultural Impact Report on:** *x Cupressocyparis leylandii* Leyland Cypress

**Tree Inspection:** 8th January 2019

**Report Prepared:** 8th January 2019

**Report Commissioned by:** Jeremy Xue

**Legislation:**

Ryde City Council Tree Preservation, Order, DCP and Local Environment Plan, Section 74C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act)


**Scope of Works:**
To determine the effects of the proposed development (See Appendix 4) at 25 Clanwilliam Street Eastwood on one (1) tree located at the rear of the property. See Appendix 4 proposed development.

2. Background/Brief

2.1 Jeremy Xue has requested an Aboricultural Impact Statement report on one (1) tree located at the rear of the property to determine its suitability for retention on the site as part of a proposed complying development for the site.

2.2 A visual tree inspection (VTA) of the tree was carried out by Mark Bury. The inspection included observing branch structure and condition, any insect or disease damage, inspection of surface roots and observations of the tree canopy. The inspection also involved measuring the height, canopy and diameter at breast height and diameter at base height of the tree.

2.3 An onsite inspection occurred on 8th January 2019 at the location. No aerial (climbing inspections) were taken as part of the assessment.

2.4 The conclusions and recommendations contained in this assessment are based on the aforementioned inspection and discussions.
3. Method of Assessment

3.1 The site was inspected on 8th January 2019. An objective visual inspection was made from the ground of the health and condition of the tree. This assessment has been carried out in reference to the accepted methods of tree assessment by Mattheck and Breloer (VTA) Page 119 of The Body Language of Trees and Strouts and Winter (Page 1) in Diagnosis of ill health in trees A Tree Schedule (Appendix 3) Binoculars were used to inspect the crown of the tree. Trees on the property have been tagged with numbers.

3.2 Photographs used in this report are originals taken at the inspection and are not altered in any way. Tree heights are determined with a Silva Clinomaster/Heightmeter™ and canopy spread were determined by visual estimations. Soil compaction was assessed by using an 8mm x 400mm steel spike being pushed by hand vertically into the ground. Soil samples were tested using a pH Meter and confirmed using a Manutec pH Soil Kit. Tree Protection Zones and Structural Root Zones are calculated using the Australian Standard AS 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites. From this information conclusions were drawn.

3.3 The tree root zone has been inspected and unless stated in this report are stable except for were stated. The tree has not displayed the normal signs of root plate shear failure on the day of this inspection the 8th January 2019. This was a visual inspection only and I have little history of works which involved work in the root zone of the tree which could affect the stability of the tree in the future.

4. Site Analysis

4.1 The site is located in Eastwood on the northern side of Clanwilliam Street Eastwood. The site is a medium density urban property located on a sloping site. The site is considered not to be urban bushland. The site is further than 1km to any area of bushland.

4.2 The tree is planted on Glenorie (gy) soils. These soils have limitations of high soil erosion hazard, localised impermeable highly plastic subsoil and are moderately reactive.

4.3 This species of tree normally do well in this soil type and are not indigenous to this area of Terry Hills. I stress that my inspection of this site was of an ISA Level 2 Inspection and did not involve any climbing or detailed investigation beyond what was visible from accessible points at ground level.

5. Discussion

5.1 Tree 1 (x Cupressocyparis leylandii Leyland Cypress) is a tree in fair condition Appendix 1 gives a description of the tree as per AS-4970-2009 Section 2. Appendix 4 gives the location of the tree on the property.

5.2 The tree will be affected by the proposed development (See Appendix 6 Construction Impact Statement). The trees soil and hydrological environments
will be affected by the proposed development. Appropriate tree management in this situation would be the removal of the tree.

5.3 The tree will be affected by the infrastructure to be installed as part of the proposed development for the site.

5.4 If Council require the preservation of the tree then it should be managed as per the tree management plan in appendix 6.

6. **Overall Recommendations from Arboricultural assessment and Development impact Statements**

6.1 Trees 1 will be impacted by the proposed development and mitigation works as suggested above should be carried out.

6.2 That any tree works are to be carried out, by a suitably qualified arborist with adequate Public Liability Coverage. The Tree Contractors Association of NSW recommends 20 Million Dollars coverage.

Mark Bury
Principal Consultant Mark Bury Consulting
### Appendix 1 - Tree Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Number</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Species</strong></td>
<td>(\times) Cupressocyparis leylandii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Common Name</strong></td>
<td>Leyland Cypress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vigour</strong></td>
<td>Normal Vigour- Ability of a tree to maintain and sustain its life processes. This may be evident by the typical growth of leaves, crown cover and crown density, branches, roots and trunk and resistance to predation. This is independent of the condition of a tree but may impact upon it, and especially the ability of a tree to sustain itself against predation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structure</strong></td>
<td>Fair Condition - tree is of good habit or misshapen, a form not severely restricted for space and light has some physical indication of decline due to the early effects of predation by pests and diseases, fungal, bacterial, or insect infestation, or has suffered physical injury to itself that may be contributing to instability or structural weaknesses, or its basic survival. Such a tree may recover with remedial works where appropriate, or without intervention may stabilise or improve over time where appropriate, or without intervention may stabilise or improve over time, or in response to the implementation of beneficial changes to its local environment. This may be independent from, or contributed to by vigour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Height (M)</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crown Spread and (M)</strong></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diameter at Brest Height (MM)</strong></td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tree Root Zone (M)</strong></td>
<td>6.6m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diameter at Base Height (MM)</strong></td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural Root Zone (M)</strong></td>
<td>3.2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Class</strong></td>
<td>Mature- Tree aged 20-80% of life expectancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated Life Expectancy</strong></td>
<td>3b- Trees that may live for more than 15 years but would be removed for safety or nuisance reasons. Overall Significance. Low –Tree Suitable for Preservation See Appendix 3 SULE and Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System IACA Australia SULE and Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System IACA Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landscape Significance</strong></td>
<td>See Attachment 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Significance</strong></td>
<td>Low – Tree Suitable for Preservation See Appendix 3 SULE and Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System IACA Australia SULE and Significance of a Tree Assessment Rating System IACA Australia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heritage/Cultural</strong></td>
<td>Tree does not have a Heritage or Cultural Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ecological and Habitat Matters</strong></td>
<td>Tree has no Ecological or Habitat matters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location to Site Features</strong></td>
<td>The tree will be required to be removed for the development to be constructed on the site,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2 - Site Photographs

Tree 1
Cupressocyparis leylandii
Leyland Cypress

The tree has been pruned in a technically incorrect manner during its development.

Tree 1
Cupressocyparis leylandii
Leyland Cypress

The tree has been pruned in a technically incorrect manner during its development,

Tree 1
Cupressocyparis leylandii
Bangalay

The tree has been trained to be a large shrub.
## Appendix 3 - SULE Safe Useful Life Expectancy (Barell 1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trees that appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for more than 40 years with an acceptable level of risk.</td>
<td>Trees that appeared to be retainable at the time of assessment for 15 - 40 years with an acceptable level of risk.</td>
<td>Trees that should be removed within the next 5 years</td>
<td>Trees, which can be reliably moved or replaced.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong> Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth.</td>
<td>Trees that may only live between 15 and 40 years.</td>
<td>Trees that may only live between 5 and 15 more years.</td>
<td>Dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees through disease or inhospitable conditions.</td>
<td>Small trees less than 5m in height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B</strong> Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree care.</td>
<td>Trees that may live for more than 40 years but would be removed for safety or nuisance reasons.</td>
<td>Trees that may live for more than 15 years but would be removed for safety or nuisance reasons.</td>
<td>Dangerous trees through instability or recent loss of adjacent trees.</td>
<td>Young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C</strong> Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long-term retention.</td>
<td>Trees that may live for more than 40 years but would be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.</td>
<td>Trees that may live for more than 15 years but should be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting.</td>
<td>Damaged trees through structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form.</td>
<td>Trees that have been pruned to artificially control growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>D</strong> Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial tree care.</td>
<td>Trees that require substantial remedial tree care and are only suitable for retention in the short term.</td>
<td>Damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>E</strong> Trees that may live for more than 5 years but should be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new plantings.</td>
<td>Trees that may live for more than 5 years but should be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new plantings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F</strong> Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years.</td>
<td>Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G</strong> Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for reasons given in A) to F).</td>
<td>Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for reasons given in A) to F).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Safe Use Life Expectancy (SULE)

SULE is the length of time an Arborist assesses an individual tree can be retained with an acceptable level of risk based on the information available at the time of inspection. SULE is not static and is closely related to tree health and the surrounding conditions. Alterations to the variables may result in changes in the SULE assessment. SULE may have to be reassessed if a significant amount of time passes from the initial inspection to the eventual development. Once a tree survey has been carried out (as described above) the Arborist would then estimate the remaining life expectancy. This can be difficult if it is not known how long a particular species may live for in a particular location, however, the exercise is very useful for categorising which trees have the best chance of long term survival once construction is completed.

Categories for retention or removal.
The trees in each category could be colour coded both on site plans and on the ground. These categories are adapted and modified from BS5837:1991 and Barrell.

Category A:
Trees whose retention is most desirable; long safe useful life expectancy - retainable with an acceptable level of risk for more than 40 years+. Long category SULE.

(i) Structurally sound trees of good form in positions that are compatible with the proposed development and where future growth can be accommodated.
(ii) Trees for screening or softening the effect of existing structures in the near vicinity, or of particular visual importance to the locality.
(iii) Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention.

Category B:
Trees whose retention is desirable or that would be retainable with an acceptable level of risk for 15-40 years. Moderate category: Medium category SULE.

(i) Trees that may only live for another 15-40 years.
(ii) Trees that may live for more than 40 years but which have defects which may lead to their removal within this period.
(iii) Trees which may live more than 40 years but which would be removed to allow the safe development of more suitable individuals.
(iv) Storm damaged or defective trees which can be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial treatment.
(v) Immature trees with potential to develop into the high category.
**Category C:**
Trees that could be retained or those with an acceptable level of risk for 5-15 years. Short category SULE.

(i) Trees that may only live for 5-15 years.
(ii) Trees that may live for more than 15 years but which have defects that would lead to their removal within this period.
(iii) Trees that may live for more than 15 years but which would be removed to allow the safe development of more suitable individuals.
(iv) Damaged or defective trees which warrant remedial work for their short term retention.
(v) Immature trees of no particular merit.

**Category D:**
Trees to be removed. Removal category SULE.

(i) Dead trees.
(ii) Unstable or structurally defective trees with a high hazard rating.
(iii) Trees which will be impossible to retain or irreparably damaged by construction activities where no realistic compromise is possible.

Trees can be coded in reports and on site plans e.g. Tree 15. Ficus rubiginosa Category B (ii).

Note: These assessments should be carried out by a suitable qualified and experienced Arborist. (Judy Fakes, 1996)

**Survey:**
Peter Castor and John Douglas have both made the point that some species deteriorate more quickly than others. That is, a SULE rating of 5-15 years might not be sensible for a species such as Eucalyptus scoparia which might only have a useful life of some 2 years from when it first shows signs of deterioration. Eucalyptus nicholii in Sydney might also fit into this category. Perhaps it is sensible to recommend the removal of a Chilean Willow as soon as it first displays borer damage. It would not be sensible to apply that standard to a Eucalyptus saligna (Sydney Blue Gum)
Safe Useful Lifespans

Depending on the pattern of decline (a distinction needs to be drawn between biological life and useful life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Name</th>
<th>Lifespan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acacia elata</td>
<td>30-50, decline rapidly if lopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia parramattensis / decurrens</td>
<td>5-15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia binervia (glaucescens) (Costal Myall)</td>
<td>30 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia melanoxylon</td>
<td>50-90 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acer negundo</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acmena smithii</td>
<td>40-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonis flexuosa</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angophora costata</td>
<td>70-90 (400+ in the bush)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia integrifolia</td>
<td>50-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banksia serrata</td>
<td>20-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauhinia galpini</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula pendula</td>
<td>7-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachychiton acerifolius</td>
<td>50-70, 10 after lopping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callistemon viminalis</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calodendrum capense</td>
<td>50-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castanospermum australis</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtis australis</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celtis occidentalis</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratopetalum gummiferum</td>
<td>90 in the bush Rarely in gardens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceratopetalum apetalum</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamomum camphora</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corimbya maculata</td>
<td>50-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corimbya citriodora</td>
<td>70-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corimbya gummifera</td>
<td>25, if in right location 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corimbya eximia</td>
<td>25, if in right location 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupaniopsis anacardioides</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaeocarpus reticulatus</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrina x sykesii</td>
<td>15-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrina crista-galli</td>
<td>30-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</td>
<td>70-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corimbya ficifolia</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus globulus subspecies globulus</td>
<td>15-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus globulus subspecies bicostata</td>
<td>15.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus microcorys</td>
<td>50-70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus nicholii</td>
<td>35 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus pilularis</td>
<td>70-90 (100-200 In the bush)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus saligna</td>
<td>70-90 (100-200 In the bush)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus tereticornis</td>
<td>70-90 (150-200)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus macrophyila</td>
<td>90-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus microcarpa var hillii</td>
<td>30-70 Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus rubiginosa</td>
<td>70-200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus excelsior</td>
<td>10-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingko Biloba</td>
<td>10-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grevillea robusta</td>
<td>35 years, 50 occasionally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacaranda mimosifolia</td>
<td>50-70 Plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagerstroemia indica</td>
<td>30-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagunaria patersonia</td>
<td>30-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquidambar styraciflua</td>
<td>30-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lophostemon confertus</td>
<td>70 plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnolia grandiflora</td>
<td>70 plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaleuca quinuenueria</td>
<td>70 plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melia azedarach</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrosideros excelsior</td>
<td>5-30, 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michelia figo</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morus nigra</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olea africana</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistacia chinensis</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittosporum undulatum</td>
<td>25-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platanus x hybridia</td>
<td>90 plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus nigra</td>
<td>40-70 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus serratifolia</td>
<td>5-35 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrus calleryana</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus robur</td>
<td>70-160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinia pseudoacacia</td>
<td>25-50 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix species</td>
<td>7 Chilean, 30-50 years babylonica, fragilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sapium sebiferum</td>
<td>Up to 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schinus areira</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenocarpus sinuatus</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncarpia glomulifera</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium parvifolia</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulmus</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virgilia hupehensis</td>
<td>7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**References:**


Appendix E - Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System

(STARS) IACA, Australia

1. High Significance in landscape
   - The tree is in good condition and good vigour;
   - The tree has a form typical for the species;
   - The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
   - The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an Endangered ecological community or listed on Council's significant Tree Register;
   - The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
   - The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
   - The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

2. Medium Significance in landscape
   - The tree is in fair-good condition and good or low vigour;
   - The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
   - The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area;
   - The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street;
   - The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area;
   - The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ.

3. Low Significance in landscape
   - The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
   - The tree has form atypical of the species;
   - The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings;
   - The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area;
   - The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen;
   - The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa in situ - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions;
   - The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms;
   - The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound;
   - Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species;
   - The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/allergic properties;
   - The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation;
   - Hazardous/Irreversible Decline;
   - The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous;
   - The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monocultural stand in its entirety e.g.
Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System cont.

### Landscape Significance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated Life Expectancy</th>
<th>1. Long &gt;40 years</th>
<th>2. Medium 15-40 years</th>
<th>3. Short &lt;1-15 years</th>
<th>Dead</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Legend For Matrix Assessment

**Priority for Retention (High)** – These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4980 Protection of trees on development sites. Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.

**Consider for Retention (Medium)** – These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.

**Consider for Removal (Low)** – These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.

**Priority for Removal** – These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.
Appendix 5 - Brief Qualifications and Experience of Mark Bury


2. **Practical experience:** Twenty Three (23) years experience as a consulting arborist, 20 years experience in Local Government as a consulting arborist. A Founding member of the Institute of Australian Consulting Arborist (Resigned 2006) and The Local Government Tree Resources Group which I was Secretary of in 1995.

3. **Continuing professional development:** Member of International Society of Arboriculture (AU0345A). Member of Australian Institute of Horticulture (MXB0615), attended courses by Jeremy Barrell and Claus Matteck. I attended the update of QTRA certification March 2015 and completed course in Visual Tree Assessment in 2015 and Visual Tree Assessment and Estimating the probability of failure in 2015.

4. **Relevant experience** Twenty Three (23) Years experience as a consulting arborist and Twenty years experience in tree management in local government. Twenty (20) years experience in Local Government assessing development applications in regards to tree management issues. (Councils; Warringah, North Sydney, Mosman, Manly, Ashfield, Pittwater, Marrickville and Hornsby).

   With my qualifications and experience I am an AQF 5. Furthermore I have written and published books on Trees and Asset Management, Trees and Real Estate, Planning and Trees and Inherent Failure Patterns of Trees in the Greater Sydney Area.

   I have also been a high Level Asset Manager in Local Government for 10 years and have carried out numerous courses in asset management and risk management and developed Council Budgets in this area for a number of years.

   I also have lectured at UTS on Asset Management. I have worked in the Industry for 36 years and have carried out major Asset management inventories including trees for large Local Government Areas and developed financial and operations plans to manage assets. Furthermore I have developed, written and implemented asset tree master plans for Ashfield, Pittwater, Hornsby and Marrickville Councils.
International Society of Arboriculture Continuing Education Units Completed 2014, 2015 and 2016

Tree Risk- Strategies for Preserving Heritage Trees
Tree Risk- Mitigation and Reporting
Tree Risk- Structural Defects and Conditions
Tree Risk- Tree Load: Concept
Tree Risk- Loads and Growth Response
Tree Risk- Levels of Tree Risk Assessment
Tree Risk- Sap Rot
Tree Risk- Anchorage: Root Plate Resistance to Failure
Tree Risk- Indicators of Decay in Urban Trees
Tree Risk- Visual Inspection Prior to Dismantling

Urban Forestry- Wildfire and the Role of the Arborist
Urban Forestry- Managing Trees during Construction Part 1 and 2
Urban Forestry- Tree Risk Assessment: A Foundation
Urban Forestry- Tree Inventories Part 1 and Part 2
Trees & Their Environment- Fertilizing Trees & Shrubs Part 1 and Part 2
Urban Forestry- Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites
Urban Forestry- Challenges for the Built Environment
Urban Forestry- The Benefit of Trees
Urban Forestry- Root Planting Friendly Site Design
Urban Forestry- Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites
Urban Forestry- Tree Inventories Part 1
Urban Forestry- Tree Inventories Part 2
Urban Forestry- Tree Risk Assessment a Foundation
Urban Forestry- Managing Trees during Construction Parts 1 and 2
Urban Forestry- Wildfire and the Role of the Arborist
Trees & Their Environment- Soil Properties: Part 1 and Part 2
Trees & Their Environment- Fertilizing Trees & Shrubs Part 1 and Part 2
Trees & Their Environment- Analyse Before You Fertilize
Trees & Their Environment- Back to Basics: Tree Fertilization
Trees & Their Environment- Slow or Controlled Release Fertilizers

Tree Maintenance- Trees & Lightning
Tree Maintenance- Cabling
Tree Maintenance- Pollarding: What Was Old Is New Again
Tree Maintenance- Why Utilities "V-Out" Trees
Tree Maintenance- Pruning Trees Part 1: Principles, Objectives & Pruning Types
Tree Maintenance- Pruning Trees Part 2: How, Where and How Much
Plant Health Care- Plant Health Care
Plant Health Care- Maintaining Tree and Turf Associations
Plant Health Care- Preserving Trees during the Construction Process

Plant Health Care- Mulch
Plant Health Care- Resource Allocation Trade Off
Plant Health Care- Root System Care
Safe Working Practices- Innovations in Climbing Techniques and Equipment
Safe Working Practices- Basic Chain Saw Maintenance
Safe Working Practices- Felling Techniques
Safe Working Practices- Tree Removals
Safe Working Practices- Chain Saw Cutting Techniques
Tree Science- Palms just not for the Tropics
Tree Science- Damage and Diagnosis Steps to Proper Diagnosis
Tree Science- Plant Traits that Resemble Abiotic Disorders
Tree Science- Adventitious Roots Occurrence and Management in Trees
Tree Science- Cool Trees Surviving Cold Temperatures
Tree Science- Identifying Wood Decay and Wood Decay Fungi in Urban Trees
Tree Science- How Pests use Bark or Wood as Food
Tree Science- How trees get to fat
Tree Science- Kissing under the Mistletoe
Biology- Tree Failure Risk Evaluations
Biology- Tree Growth Rings Formation and Form
Biology- Regulating Tree Growth Keeping the Green Side Up
Biology- How Wind Affects Trees
Biology- Allelopathy in Trees
Biology- Fantasy Facts and Fall Colour
Biology- Blowing in the Wind
Biology- Tree Physiology
Biology- Basic Woody Plant Biology
Diagnosis and Treatment- Plant Health Care and the Diagnostic Process
Diagnosis and Treatment- Want to be a Better Plant Diagnostician
Diagnosis and Treatment- Diagnosing Disease Problems on Trees
Diagnosis and Treatment- How Weather Influences Insect and Mite Populations
Diagnosis and Treatment- Understanding and Diagnosing Scale Insects
Diagnosis and Treatment- Surefire Rules of Diagnosis
Diagnosis and Treatment- Diagnosing Abiotic Disorders
Tree Selection and Planting- A plant by any Other Name
Tree Selection and Planting- Installation and Establishment of Trees and Shrubs
Tree Selection and Planting- Ten Keys to Plant and Site Selection
Tree Selection and Planting- Tree Transplanting
Tree Selection and Planting- Tree Transplanting and Establishment
Tree Selection and Planting- Post Planting Maintenance of Trees and Shrubs
Tree Selection and Planting- Tree Trunk Protection
Tree Selection and Planting- Siting Selecting and Planting Problems
Tree Selection and Planting- Girdling Root Formation in Landscape Trees
Tree Selection and Planting- Right Tree, Right Location
Tree Selection and Planting- Dendrology and Taxonomy
Tree and Development
The Landscape below Ground
General- Arborist Equipment Study Program

International Society of Arboriculture
Continuing Education Units Completed 2017
Root Pruning Part 2
Palms: Woody Giants of the Monocots Part 2
Biology and Assessment of Callus and Woundwood
Managing Soils That Support Urban Trees Part 1
Palms: Woody Giants of the Monocots Part 1
Tree Injection Part 1
Plant Health Care and Diagnostics
Root Management: An Introduction
Bark Traits are Important to Tree health and Survival
The Cost of Not Maintaining the Urban Forest
Flood Tolerant Trees in the Urban Sphere
Integrated Vegetation Management
Advanced Twig Anatomy
Tree Lightning Protection Systems Part 2
Tree Safety
Continuing Education Units Completed 2018

Managing Soils That Support Urban Trees Part Two
Preserving Trees During Construction
Arborists and Wildlife Retaining Trees for Wildlife Habitat
Understanding Tree Responses to Abiotic and Biotic Stress Complexes
Storm Response Part 1 Types of Storms and Their Effects on Trees
Storm Response Part 2 Preparing for Safe and Effective Responses to Storms
Storm Response Part 3 Effective Response to Large and Small –Scale Storm Emergencies
Storm Response Part 4 Unique Aspects :Keeping Employees Safe, Talking to the Media, Saving Damaged Trees, Winding Down, and Lessons Learned
Tree Inventories
Understanding Tree Responses to Stress
Tree Lightning Protection Systems (Part One)
Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites Achieving a Healthy Root Crown Balance
Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites Human Intervention in Root Development
Tree Risk Assessment Structural Defects and Conditions that Affect the Likelihood of Failure
Basic Tree Plumbing Translocation
Tree Injection (Part 2)
Advanced Twig Anatomy Starting Little to Get Big (Part 1)
Biology and Identification of Fungi
Urban Tree Inventory Data
Comparison of Tree Conditions
Roadside Soil Enhancement
Tree Species as Tools for Biodiversity and Phytemediation
Homeowner Interactions with Residential Trees In Urban Areas
Does Modulus of Elasticity Vary
Long Term Fluctuations in Water Status and Crown Die Back
Maximum Size Expectations in Designed Space
The Arboricultural and Economic Benefits of Formative Pruning
Protecting Your Assets
The Management of Tree Roots in Urban and Suburban Settings
The Costs on Not Maintaining and Maintaining Urban Forest
Tree Performance during Early Years and Future Performance
Effects of Urbanisation on Tree Species Composition and Structure
Things Arborist Should Know about Soil Microbes
Wood Chips and Compost Improve Compacted Urban Soil
The Linear Index of Tree Appraisal Model
The Influence of Abiotic factors on street tree condition and mortality in a commercial retail Streetscape
Water Management Strategies in Dry Environments
Comparison of Shading Effectiveness
Vines and Utility Arboriculture
Vegetation and Storm Water Run Off
Continuing Education Units Completed 2018

Managing Soils That Support Urban Trees Part Two
Preserving Trees During Construction
Arborists and Wildlife Retaining Trees for Wildlife Habitat
Understanding Tree Responses to Abiotic and Biotic Stress Complexes
Storm Response Part 1 Types of Storms and Their Effects on Trees
Storm Response Part 2 Preparing for Safe and Effective Responses to Storms
Storm Response Part 3 Effective Response to Large and Small Scale Storm Emergencies
Storm Response Part 4 Unique Aspects: Keeping Employees Safe, Talking to the Media, Saving Damaged Trees, Winding Down, and Lessons Learned
Tree Inventories
Understanding Tree Responses to Stress
Tree Lightning Protection Systems (Part One)
Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites Achieving a Healthy Root Crown Balance
Root Management Challenges on Urban Sites Human Intervention in Root Development
Tree Risk Assessment Structural Defects and Conditions that Affect the Likelihood of Failure
Basic Tree Plumbing Translocation
Tree Injection (Part 2)
Advanced Twig Anatomy Starting Little to Get Big (Part 1)
Biology and Identification of Fungi
Urban Tree Inventory Data
Comparison of Tree Conditions
Roadside Soil Enhancement
Tree Species as Tools for Biodiversity and Phytomediation
Homeowner Interactions with Residential Trees In Urban Areas
Does Modulus of Elasticity Vary
Long Term Fluctuations in Water Status and Crown Die Back
Maximum Size Expectations in Designed Space
The Arboricultural and Economic Benefits of Formative Pruning
Protecting Your Assets
The Management of Tree Roots in Urban and Suburban Settings
The Costs on Not Maintaining and Maintaining Urban Forest
Tree Performance during Early Years and Future Performance
Effects of Urbanisation on Tree Species Composition and Structure
Things Arborist Should Know about Soil Microbes
Wood Chips and Compost Improve Compacted Urban Soil
The Linear Index of Tree Appraisal Model
The Influence of Abiotic factors on street tree condition and mortality in a commercial retail Streetscape
Water Management Strategies in Dry Environments
Comparison of Shading Effectiveness
Vines and Utility Arboriculture
Vegetation and Storm Water Run Off
Appendix 6 - Construction Impact Statement

(Trees that are less than 100mm in both Diameter at Breast Height and Diameter at Base Height have a standard TPZ of 2 metres and SRZ of 1.5 metres) All calculations were calculated using the Tree World online calculator. Tree incursions were calculated using CAD tools.

Tree 1 – x Cupressocyparis leylandii Leyland Cypress

The proposed development will impact the (TPZ) Tree Protection Zone of the tree

The tree has a dbh of 550mm

Tree Protection Zone = 12 X DBH 550mm = 6.6 Metres

Tree has a diameter at base height of 890mm

Structural Root Zone SRZ Radius = (890x70)0.42 x.64 = 3.2 Metres

Incursion 6.6 Metres

Radius 6.6 Metres

The tree will be affected by the proposed excavation for the development. The tree’s TPZ will have an incursion of 50% (Segment Area (68.42m2) / Total Circle Area (136.85m2) Area x 100 which is unacceptable as the largest inclusion allowed for is normally 10%, for the proposed development on the site.

The tree will be severely affected by the proposed excavation for the development. The overland water flow patterns of the tree on the site will change as well as the soil environment of the tree. The tree should not be preserved as part of the landscape plan for the property.

Gradient of Impacts

Significant Level of Impact

0% of root zone impacted – no impact of significance
0 to 10% of root zone impacted – low level of impact
10 to 15% of root zone impacted – low to moderate level of impact
15 to 20% of root zone impacted – moderate level of impact
20 to 25% of root zone impacted – moderate to high level of impact
25 to 35% of root zone impacted – high level of impact
>35% of root zone impacted – significant level of impact

Used with permission of Landscape Matrix Pty Ltd.

**Significance for Visual Effects - Small Medium**
**Significance Matrix for effects on Landscape Character and Features- Small Local**
3.2 DETERMINING THE TPZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH × 12.

\[ \text{TPZ} = \text{DBH} \times 12 \]

where

\[ \text{DBH} = \text{trunk diameter measured at } 1.4 \text{ m above ground} \]

Radius is measured from the centre of the stem at ground level.

A TPZ should not be less than 2 m nor greater than 15 m (except where crown protection is required). Clause 3.3 covers variations to the TPZ.

The TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns should not be less than 1 m outside the crown projection.

3.3 VARIATIONS TO THE TPZ

3.3.1 General

It may be possible to encroach into or make variations to the standard TPZ. Encroachment includes excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching.

3.3.2 Minor encroachment

If the proposed encroachment is less than 10% of the area of the TPZ and is outside the SRZ (see Clause 3.3.5), detailed root investigations should not be required. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and contiguous with the TPZ. Variations must be made by the project arborist considering relevant factors listed in Clause 3.3.4. The figures in Appendix D demonstrate some examples of possible encroachment into the TPZ up to 10% of the area.

3.3.3 Major encroachment

If the proposed encroachment is greater than 10% of the TPZ or inside the SRZ (see Clause 3.3.5), the project arborist must demonstrate that the tree(s) would remain viable. The area lost to this encroachment should be compensated for elsewhere and contiguous with the TPZ. This may require root investigation by non-destructive methods and consideration of relevant factors listed in Clause 3.3.4.
ENCROACHMENT INTO TREE PROTECTION ZONE

(Informative)

Encroachment into the tree protection zone (TPZ) is sometimes unavoidable. Figure D1 provides examples of TPZ encroachment by area, in order to reduce the impact of such encroachments.

**Figure D1: Examples of Minor Encroachment into TPZ**

![Diagram showing encroachment into TPZ](image)

**Notes:**
- Less than 1% TPZ area and outside SRZ: less than 1% of TPZ, compensated for shortening.

The curve can be expressed by the following formula:

\[ R_{SRZ} = (D \times 50)^{0.44} \times 0.64 \]

**Notes:**
1. \( R_{SRZ} \) is the structural root zone radius.
2. \( D \) is the stem diameter measured immediately above root buttress.
3. The SRZ formula and graph do not apply to palms, other monocots, cycads, and tree ferns.
4. This does not apply to trees with an asymmetrical root plate.

**Figure 1: Structural Root Zone**

![Graph showing structural root zone](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnitude of Change</th>
<th>Significance for Legal Effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negligible / None</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Explanation:**
- **Negligible / None:** No discernible change in the view.
- **Small:** A barely perceptible change in the view.
- **Medium:** A noticeable deterioration (or improvement) in the view.
- **Large:** Changes are obvious and would significantly impair (or improve) views of the area.
- **Very Large:** Proposals are highly visible, decreasing (or increasing) valued views into and across the area.
Appendix 7 - Tree Protection Plan for 25 Clanwilliam Street Eastwood
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Pre Construction Inspection

The pre construction inspection will be carried out prior to the commencement of any excavation or building works on the proposed development site.

Compliance with the following items will be required before authorization to commence construction will be consented.

Construction Procedure for Trees to be preserved

1. Before beginning work, the contractor is required to meet with the consultant at the site to review all work procedures, access routes, storage areas, and tree protection measures.

2. Fences have been erected to protect tree to be preserved. Fences define a specific protection zone for the tree. Fences are to remain until all site work has been completed. Fences may not be relocated or removed without the written permission of the consultant.

3. Construction trailers and traffic and storage areas must remain outside fenced areas at all times.

4. All underground utilities and drain or irrigation lines shall be routed outside the tree protection zone. If lines must traverse the protection area, they shall be tunneled or bored under the tree. The site arborist should be present during any such works.

5. No materials, equipment, spoil, or waste or washout water may be deposited, stored, or parked within the tree protection zone (fenced area).

6. Additional tree pruning required for clearance during construction must be performed by a qualified arborist and not by construction personnel.

7. Any herbicides placed under paving materials must be safe for use around trees and labeled for that use. Any pesticides used on site must be tree-safe and not easily transported by water.
Pruning Specifications for Trees Recommended for Preservation

1. All trees within the project area shall be pruned to:
   
a. Clear the crown of diseased, crossing, weak, and dead wood
   
b. Provide 5 metres of vertical clearance over streets and 3 metres over Sidewalks;
   
c. Remove stubs, cutting outside the wound wood tissue that has Formed around the branch;
   
d. Reduce end weight on heavy, horizontal branches by selectively removing small diameter branches, no greater than 50-100mm near the ends of the scaffolds.

2. Where temporary clearance is needed for access, branches shall be tied back to hold them out of the clearance zone. All pruning shall be performed by a qualified arborist with a minimum of 10 Million Dollars public liability insurance. That all tree pruning works are carried out as per the Australian Standard AS 4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees and as per the Code of Practice Amenity Tree Industry August 1998. Interior branches shall not be stripped out.

3. Pruning cuts larger than 100mm in diameter, except for dead wood, shall be avoided.

4. Pruning cuts that expose heartwood shall be avoided whenever possible.

5. No more than 20 percent of live foliage shall be removed within the tree to be preserved.

6. While in the tree, the arborist shall perform and aerial inspection to identify defects that require treatment. Any additional work needed shall be reported to the consultant.

7. Brush shall be chipped and chips shall be spread underneath trees within the tree protection zone to a maximum depth of 200mm, leaving the trunk clear of mulch.

Construction Procedure for Trees during works

1. The site arborist is to be present during any excavation works adjacent any trees on the site. This is required to specify and supervise any horticultural works that should be carried out to any nominated tree for retention.

2. If injury should occur to any tree during construction, it should be evaluated as soon as possible by the site arborist so that appropriate treatments can be applied.

3. Any grading, construction, demolition, or other work that is expected to encounter tree roots must be monitored by the consulting arborist.

4. The tree shall be irrigated on a schedule to be determined by the consultant. Each
irrigation shall wet the soil within the tree protection zone to a depth of 100mm.

5. Erosion control devices such as silt fencing, debris basins, and Water diversion structures shall be installed to prevent siltation and or erosion within the tree protection zone.

6. Before grading, pad preparation, or excavation for foundations, footings, walls, or trenching, they shall be 300mm outside the tree protection zone by cutting all roots cleanly to a depth of 800mm. Roots shall be cut by manually digging a trench and cutting exposed roots with a saw, vibrating knife, rock saw, and narrow trencher with sharp blades, or other approved root-pruning equipment.

7. Any roots damaged during grading or construction shall be exposed to sound tissue and cut cleanly with a saw.

8. Spoil from trenches, basements, or other excavations shall not be placed within the tree protection zone either temporarily or permanently.

9. No burn piles or debris pits shall be placed within the tree protection zone. No ashes, debris, or garbage maybe dumped or buried within the tree protection zone.

10. Maintain fire-safe areas around fenced areas. Also, no heat sources, flames, Ignition sources or smoking is allowed near mulch or trees.

These inspections will be carried out on an as needed requirement. It recommended that all excavations near trees be carried out together to reduce costs for the client.

Construction Phase Monitoring

Fortnightly inspections will be required to observe six major areas during the construction phase.

- **Maintain the tree protection zone.** Maintaining the integrity of the tree protection zone is the single most important factor in protecting trees from excessive damage. Space often is at a premium on construction sites and the open areas denied by the tree protection zone are attractive locations for all types of activities that can cause damage to trees, including storing materials, Parking vehicles and dumping waste.

- **Assist with changes in the field.** Few projects proceed without changes in the field. This occurs for a variety of reasons. Plans and field situations may not match, and work must occur closer to the tree than planned. Alternatively, an item may have escaped notice or was not discovered until construction. The Consultant must participate in the decisions that could affect trees.

- **Monitor tree health and conditions and specifying appropriate treatments.** Sometimes, even with a comprehensive tree protection plan, trees are accidentally damaged. The consultant must be available to recommend mitigations and appropriate actions when damage has occurred. Similarly, changes in water status,
pest populations, etc. must be identified early so treatments can be applied.

- **Communicate with the project superintendent and contractors.** In our experience, one of the most critical factors in the success of a tree preservation project is the commitment of the project superintendent who manages all on-site construction activity. The superintendent’s interest and willingness to support tree preservation actions (for example, honouring the tree protection zone) is vital. The consultant must acknowledge the range of demands for time and money facing the superintendent in completing the project and establish an effective means of communication and cooperation at the site.

- **Help identify appropriate work procedures around trees.** The arborist should talk with the project superintendent and contractors to identify work Procedures that are effective for all parties and minimize impacts to trees. The Consultant can help identify locations for haul roads that avoid trees while providing adequate turn and back-up zones for equipment.

- **Facilitate completion of the project.** Once a project is approved and Construction begun, one of the consultant's responsibilities is to help complete the project in a timely manner. This is not done at the expense of adequate tree protection, but in a spirit of cooperation.

### Post Construction Management

#### Tree Maintenance program:

**Care of trees following construction**

The management of preserved trees following construction must encompass the needs of both individual trees and the forest remnants they comprise. The following Tree Maintenance areas will be inspected for compliance on an annual basis following the completion of works for 2 years.

#### Caring for Individual Trees

The program of post construction care for individual trees focuses on the normal goals of any tree management effort such as maintenance of vigour and structural stability. For trees to remain assets to the community, they must remain in good condition with low potential for failure. We address these goals by treating the tree itself (pruning, pest management) and the environment around the tree (mulch, irrigation). Overall, we strive to avoid any factors that predispose the tree to attack by pests and loss of wood through decay.

The most common remedial actions recommended for trees impacted by construction include the treatments described below.

#### Irrigation

Trees that have suffered loss of roots may not be able to exploit as large a soil volume as they did before injury. Alternatively, changed patterns of drainage across a site may
divert water into new drainage patterns, away from trees. In either case, trees may benefit from supplemental irrigation. The following are general guidelines.

- The amount of water applied must be appropriate to the needs of the individual species.
- Light, frequent irrigations should be avoided. Irrigation should wet the entire root zone and be allowed to dry before another application.
- Excess irrigation from new landscapes should be avoided. Runoff from plantings should be minimized and/or directed away from trees.
- Wetting the trunk should be avoided.

Another approach is to reduce water loss by misting the canopy. In this technique, fine sprays of water are applied throughout the canopy on regular, relatively continuous intervals. The mist appears to raise humidity and reduce air temperature within the canopy, thereby reducing water loss. Shrader (1996) considered this treatment instrumental in the survival of transplanted oaks in Florida.

Pruning

Trees on construction sites should be inspected annually to determine pruning requirements. Pruning may be required for one of two reasons. First, crowns may need to have dead, dying, diseased, broken, and otherwise structurally weak branches removed.

This pruning may also involve reducing the size of the crown where dieback is extensive. Second, crowns may be thinned to reduce the amount of canopy exposed to wind and to balance weight among branches.

Arborists have long debated the value of pruning the crown as a way of compensating for loss of roots; however, there is no scientific evidence to support this practice. Watson (1991) notes "... no research has been published to demonstrate the effectiveness (of crown reduction pruning) on mature trees." Harris (1992) notes, "As with most things, moderation would appear to be wise in caring for root-damaged trees."

Our recommendation is that arborists not attempt to balance root loss by reducing the size of the crown. Rather, we recommend that the health and structure of the tree be monitored and appropriate pruning actions be applied.

Where scaffolding is required it should be erected outside the TPZ. Where it is essential for scaffolding to be erected within the TPZ branch removal should be minimized. This can be achieved by designing scaffolding to avoid branches or tying back branches. Ground below the scaffolding should be protected by boarding (e.g. scaffolding board or plywood sheeting as shown. Where access is required a board walk or other surface material should be installed to minimise sheeting to prevent soil contamination. The boarding should be left in place until the scaffolding is removed.
Mulch

Trees preserved on construction sites generally will benefit from having a 100- to -200 mm layer of organic mulch beneath the canopy. The mulch will reduce loss of moisture from the soil, protect against compaction, and moderate soil temperatures. It also has been demonstrated that the addition of mulch reduces soil compaction over time (see section on remedial soil treatment).

We normally specify that brush from pruning be chipped and spread under the crown. Mulch depth should be adjusted so that only 1 to 2 inches is placed against the trunk of the tree.

Fertilisation

Arborists are not in agreement about the value of supplemental fertilization to trees preserved on construction sites. A consistent benefit to such treatment has not been demonstrated by scientific research. Because trees growing in forests settings do not usually exhibit any symptoms of nutrient deficiency, we might surmise that mineral elements are not lacking in the soil and, therefore, supplementing those nutrients following root injury is not necessary. Although applications of supplemental fertilizer have resulted in increased growth of trees in forest stands, trees preserved on development sites are no longer strictly forest trees. Historical patterns of nutrient cycling are disrupted as soil, litter, and woody debris is removed; mycorrhizal associations are altered; and Patterns of water movement through the profile and across the site are changed. Moreover, we expect trees in landscape settings to be healthier than those in woodland environments.
In addition, there is significant anecdotal evidence regarding the benefits of supplemental fertilization. We assume that the ability of trees on construction sites to absorb water and mineral nutrients has been reduced due to injury and root compaction. Providing supplemental fertilization, therefore, allows the trees to absorb necessary elements with a limited root system. Trees that were previously growing in urban landscapes or without maintenance may benefit from fertilization.

**Pest Management**

Tree death often follows a pattern of weakening by predisposing stresses, such as injury from construction, followed by attack from opportunistic pests and pathogens. For example, the two lined chestnut borer attacks oak trees that have been weakened by biotic or environmental stress (Dunn et al. 1990). Oak trees that have been mechanically wounded are predisposed to attack by *Armillaria* (Svihra 1991). Construction activity has been associated with decline of white pine (Weaver and Stipes 1988) and with increased occurrence of oak wilt (Miller et al. 1993).

Pest Management is an important part of a post-construction maintenance program. Developing pest management programs for preserved trees involves:

- Knowledge of the tree species and its pattern(s) of decline and death
- Treating the tree to enhance vigour and/or avoid predisposition (e.g., Supplemental irrigation, timing of pruning)
- Monitoring for the presence of pests
- Applying preventive control treatments

Because trees impacted by construction are more susceptible to pests, managers need to be vigilant about pest management programs. Particular attention must be paid to monitoring for pest and to application of control procedures. Thresholds for treatment may be more conservative on infested trees than for undisturbed trees. Under normal circumstances, the action threshold for control procedures might be defoliation of 30 percent of the crown. For trees impacted by development activity, a threshold of 15 to 20 percent defoliation would be more appropriate.

**Removing fill soil**

In situations where grades have been raised within the dripline, the fill soil should be removed to original grade. If the entire root area cannot be cleared of fill, a minimum 1.5-foot radius around the trunk should be returned to natural grade. In some cases, a small retaining wall may be necessary. Drainage must be provided to ensure that water does not collect at the base of the trunk. Removal of fill soil should occur by hand, especially within 3 metres of the trunk.
Remediation of Soils Damaged During Construction

The structure of soils on development sites is often altered during the construction process. Soils are compacted to provide a stable base for structures, as vehicles move across the site, and when utilities and other improvements are installed. Miller (1996) noted, however, that “compaction” is often used as a catch-all term for soil disturbances including kneading, churning, rutting, and displacement. By whatever means it is accomplished, compaction results in increased soil density and decreased porosity. It is an unfavorable environment for roots as well as soil micro flora.

Consultants are frequently asked to recommend treatments that will quickly reduce compaction and improve structure. Rolf (1992a), Day and Bassuk (1994), and Smiley (1996) reviewed possible amelioration treatments. Solutions such as tillage and sub-soiling are not appropriate on development sites where large trees are already present. In post construction situations, four treatment options are available.

- Holes and fractures can be created to increase air space. This is accomplished by injecting high-pressure water or air and physically auguring openings. In some cases, voids are filled with porous material such as sand or gravel, a process known as vertical mulching.

- Soil is removed from radically oriented trenches and replaced with porous soil material. Removal may be achieved either by backhoe and other mechanical methods or by hydro excavation (Gross 1995).

- Organic mulch can be placed around the tree beneath the canopy.

- The tree can be treated with growth regulators such as paclobutrazol (Watson 1996).

The experimental results from examining the effectiveness of the numerous possible remediation treatments are ambiguous. However, three treatments appear to provide clear benefits. First, mulching the soil beneath the canopy with organic mulch is beneficial. Smiley (1996) notes “... the most dramatic results I have ever seen in a soil compaction experiment came from using mulch by itself. "Smiley (1996) also demonstrated improvements in trunk growth of Crepe Myrtle and Callery Pear trees in a compacted soil setting. Second, the soil removal and replacement technique has resulted in clear improvements in tree growth (Watson et al. 1996.Watson 1996, Smiley 1996). In Watson’s work, however, the soils involved were not described as compacted at the start of the project. Third, Watson (1996) demonstrated increased root development of declining white oak trees from application of paclobutrazol.

Other experiments using vertical mulching (drilling holes in the soil and filling them with mulch material) of all types, treatment with bistimulants, aeration, and other methods have yielded either inconsistent or negative results for either soil characteristics or tree health. The exception to this has been the work of Rolf (1992b and 1994), which focused on remediation treatments in improving growing conditions of new plantings. It is clear that prevention and avoidance are the key elements in dealing with soil compaction and related degradations in structure on development sites. Consultants have limited ability to provide effective long-lasting treatments. As Rolf (1992a) noted, “There are no perfect methods for aeration around trees in limited spaces and where vegetation is already established.”
### Design and Documentation Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts to tree</th>
<th>Construction Activity</th>
<th>Methods/Treatments to minimise damage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Root Loss       | Stripping site of organic surface soil before grading; clearing unwanted vegetation; demolishing existing structures | • Restrict stripping of topsoil around trees  
• Install fences to protect trees from injury  
• Any woody vegetation to be removed adjacent to trees to remain should be cut at ground level and not pulled out by equipment; otherwise, root injury to remaining trees may result. Arborist may be needed for adjacent tree removal if crowns are intertwined. |
|                 | Lowering grade, scarifying, preparing sub grade for fill and structures | • Before grading, root prune tree at edge of excavation to depth required.  
• Spoil beyond cut face can be removed by equipment sitting outside the dripline of the tree  
• Use retaining walls with discontinuous footings to increase the distance that natural grade is maintained from trunk. |
|                 | Preparing sub grade for pavement | • Use paving section requiring a minimum amount of excavation (e.g., reinforced concrete instead of asphalt).  
• To minimize thickness of pavement section, design, traffic patterns to avoid heavy loads adjacent to trees.  
• Increase strength of pavement to reduce reliance on sub grade for strength (e.g., use extra reinforcement in concrete, geotextile under base material). |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts to tree</th>
<th>Construction Activity</th>
<th>Methods/Treatments to minimise damage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                | Excavations for footings, walls, foundations| • Avoid continuous footings adjacent to trees  
• Use pier foundations with grade beam above grade instead of slab foundations  
• Orient piers to avoid major roots.  
• Excavate by hand, bridging roots where possible.  
• Where roots must be removed, cut cleanly with appropriate equipment (e.g. rock saw). Do not use equipment that pulls and shatters roots (eg. Backhoe, trencher). |
|                | Trenching for utilities, drains              | • Where roots must be removed, cut cleanly with appropriate equipment (e.g. rock saw). Do not use equipment that pulls and shatters roots (eg. Backhoe, trencher).  
  * Avoid open trenching in root area  
  * Tunnel under roots, if possible.  
  * If not, within root area, dig trench by hand, bridging roots greater than 250mm diameter. Consolidate utilities into one trench. |
|                | Wounding crown of tree                       | • Fence trees to enclose low branches and protect trunk.  
• Clean up wounds as soon as possible  
• Prune to minimum height required prior to construction. |
<p>|                | Injury from equipment                        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts to tree</th>
<th>Construction Activity</th>
<th>Methods/Treatments to minimise damage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Creating clearance for building, traffic, construction equipment             |                                                                                       | * Consider minimum height requirements of construction equipment and emergency vehicles over roads.  
* All pruning should be performed by a Certified arborist and conform to ANSI pruning standards.                                                                                                                                 |
| Unfavorable conditions for root growth; chronic stress from reduced root systems | Compacted surface soils                                                               | * Fence trees to keep traffic and storage out of root area  
* Provide a storage yard and traffic areas for construction activity for construction activity well away from trees.  
* Where traffic cannot be diverted, protect soil surface with thick mulch or steel plates.                                                                                                                                 |
| Spills, waste disposal (e.g., paint, oil, fuel)                               |                                                                                       | * Clean up accidental spills immediately.                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Soil Sterilants (herbicides) applied under pavement                          |                                                                                       | * Use herbicides safe for use around trees. Adhere to label requirements                                                                                                                                                               |
| Impervious pavement over soil surface                                        |                                                                                       | * Minimize use of pavement within dripline                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Inadequate soil moisture                                                     | Rechannelization of stream flow; redirecting runoff, lowering water table; lowering grade | * Consider system to allow low flow through normal stream alignments and provide bypass into storm drains for peak flow.  
* Provide supplemental irrigation in similar volumes and seasonal distribution as would normally occur.                                                                                                                                 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts to tree</th>
<th>Construction Activity</th>
<th>Methods/Treatments to minimise damage.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Excess Soil Moisture          | Underground Flow backup; raising water table | • Fills placed across drainage courses must have culverts placed at the bottom of the low flow so that water is not backed up upstream.  
• Study the geotechnical report for ground water characteristics to see that walls and fills will not intercept underground flow. |
| Lack of Surface drainage away from tree | Where surface grades are to be modified, make sure that water will flow away from the trunk (i.e., that the trunk is not the lowest point). If tree is in low point, design drain system with lest impact to roots. |                                                                                                           |
| Irrigation of exotic landscape | Match irrigation requirements of tree and understory landscape to avoid over irrigation. |                                                                                                           |
Legend

1. Chain wire mesh panels with shade cloth (if required) attached, held in place with concrete feet.

2. Alternative plywood or wooden paling fence panels. This fencing material also prevents building materials or soil entering the TPZ.

3. Mulch installation across surface of TPZ (at the discretion of the project arborist). No excavation construction activity, grade changes, surface treatment or storage of materials of any kind is permitted within the TPZ.

4. Bracing is permissible within the TPZ. Installation of supports should avoid damaging roots.
NOTES:

1. For trunk and branch protection use boards and padding that will prevent damage to bark. Boards are to be strapped to trees, not nailed or screwed.

2. Rumble boards should be of a suitable thickness to prevent soil compaction and root damage.
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Appendix 9 - Root Management Systems

Typical Root Barrier Installation as Part of Foundation

Design & Installation Guidelines
(Including Typical)

- Normally placed between the tree and whatever you wish to protect. Try not to surround the tree, your preferred method is placing the root barrier along beside the path, building, tree etc. so that the tree roots can't access the structure. To install moisture inclusive glass on the structure, a deeper barrier is required.

- Depth
  
  “Zone of influence” normally 1.5 to 2 metres deep.

- Seal
  
  Root barrier or other root grown barrier is used to seal the bottom of the trench and bind the bottom of the root barrier to the underlying soil. In Summary, once the barrier is down to soil that nothing can drain into and find the root barrier to it.

- Length
  
  Sufficient to protect the structure from the effects of moisture change in the soil, ISA guidelines consider the following distances as reasonable, structures closer than these variants to trees must be protected from, or specifically engineered to withstand the effect of the tree.

- Size of Tree
  
  1. Tree species from house (H):
   - H = 4 for class "A" and "B" sites
   - H = 6 for class "C" sites

- Size of Root Barrier
  
  Install root barrier in one piece.

Tree Care

- Distance from the stem line, the edge of the colon, the closer you get to the trunk the thicker the root barrier should be, the more influence the tree has on the distance from the stem line to the trunk (root of the tree to the trunk). To prevent root growth, it is possible to cut closer than necessary towards the tree. It would be advisable to examine the distance of an arrangement to assess the tree prior to the work being carried out, and to help nurture the tree through the period of installation.

Barrier Placement

1. Excavate trench to the required depth; insert root barrier, leaving 50mm of root barrier left above finished ground height to allow for settlement and any diverted line of flow.
2. Fill exposed root line to leave a clean cut, treat with fungicide if required.
3. Carefully place the root barrier, leaving a gap of isolation, backfill the trench with fresh soil to level ground.
4. Use root barrier of root barrier fabric prior to subsoil slab.
5. Root barrier should be trimmed to just below crown surface height; but above ground. Top of root barrier must be boxed in on completion.

Root Barrier Supply and/or Complete Installation Available. Contact Root Barrier, phone 1300 136 644; www.rootbarrier.com.au

The information contained in this document includes our best knowledge at the time of issue and is subject to change without notice. No warranty is expressed or implied other than that required by law. We do not undertake any liability for the use of our products and information.
Appendix 10 - Arborist Report Required

A report by a qualified arborist shall be prepared detailing the position, species, height, truck diameter and canopy spread of existing trees on or adjacent to the site, and a detailed analysis of the conditional and health of these trees. The trees are to be clearly numbered in the report.

The report is to provide a tree location plan which is easily legible, at a suitable scale of not less than 1:200, indicating the trees and tree numbers.

Information is to be provided detailing trees proposed to be removed and trees to be retained in regard to the proposal, full reasons for recommending removal, including development impacts, tree condition, relevant structural testing or other relevant arboricultural analysis supporting the conclusions. Unsubstantiated observations, analysis or opinion is not acceptable.

The report shall also provide an analysis of the impacts of the proposal on existing trees both on the site and adjacent to the site.

The report shall address, the viability of tree retention, and methods by which adverse impacts of the proposal on trees if any may be avoided.

The report shall reference and use the standards and principals as set out in AS4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites.
Appendix 11 - Disclaimer

This assessment has been prepared for the exclusive use of the client and Mark Bury Consulting which accepts no responsibility for its use by other persons.

The client acknowledges that this appraisal, and any opinions, advice or recommendations expressed or given in it, are based on the information supplied by the client and on the data inspections, measurements and analysis carried out or obtained by Mark Bury Consulting and referred to in the assessment. The client should rely on the assessment and on its contents, only to that extent.

This assessment was carried out from the ground, and covers what was reasonably able to be assessed and available to this assessor at the time of inspection. No aerial or subterranean inspections were carried out.

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or a copy) is referenced in, and directly attached to that submission, report or presentation. This report must be revised for use in the Land and Environment Court and permission sorted from the owner for its use in court.

Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable sources. All data has been verified were possible, however, Mark Bury Consulting can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.

Information contained in this report covers only the trees that were examined and reflects the condition of the trees at the time of inspection, furthermore the inspection was limited to a visual examination of the subject trees without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject tree may not arise in the future. This report cannot be used in a court of law until it is revised and referenced.