

Council DA reference number	Lot number	DP number	Apartment /Unit number	Street number	Street name	Suburb/Town	Postcode	Category of development	Environmental planning instrument	Zoning of land	Development standard to be varied	Justification of variation	Extent of variation	Concurring authority	Date DA determined dd/mm/yyyy
LDA2015/0389	A 25 24	398837 660885 186635		428-434	Victoria Rd	GLADESVILLE	2111	9: Mixed	RLEP	B6 Enterprise Corridor	4.3 Height of Buildings	The above justification is considered valid and the height of the proposed building is generally considered consistent with LEP 2014 with the exception of breaches occurring to the rear as a result of the sloping topography of the site. The applicant has demonstrated that compliance with the development standard would be unreasonable and unnecessary in the circumstances of the case.	3.6%	Council	11/02/2016
LDA2015/0390	14 & 15	27909		19 & 22	Sheehan St	EASTWOOD	2122	1: Residential - Alterations & additions	RLEP	R2 Low Density Residential	4.3 Height of Buildings 4.5 Density Controls	The current proposal is to be constructed in accordance with the previous approval and does not involve any increase in the height of any of the units. In this instance, a Clause 4.6 request is a technical matter and the applicant's submission meets this requirement. The extent of non-compliance is numerically very minor. The site area falls short of the requirement by 2%. The proposal does not result in any significant increase in building footprint. Accordingly, the applicant's clause 4.6 request for variation is supported in this instance.	3%-10% 2%	Council	1/03/2016
LDA2015/0590	1	614826		29A	Aeolus Ave	RYDE	2112	13: Subdivision only	RLEP	R2 Low Density Residential	4.1A - minimum allotment size	The current Ryde LEP 2014 does not contain any specific controls relating to subdivision of dual occupancy (detached) developments. The controls in Clause 4.1A and Clause 4.1B relate to dual occupancy (attached) developments only. Therefore, it is considered that Council is able to consider and determine the proposed subdivision under its normal development standards for minimum allotment sizes for residential subdivisions. In this regard, although the subdivision proposes a large variation to these development controls, it is considered that sufficient justification exists because the existence of a detached dual occupancy provides a unique "circumstance of the case".	30.30%	Council	18/01/2016
LDA2015/0612	566	28915		18	Chauvel St	NORTH RYDE	2113	6: Residential - Other	RLEP	R2 Low Density Residential	4.1A - minimum allotment size	In light of the above, the proposed development is consistent with the objective of clause 4.1B, in that the desired density of the site can be achieved despite the numeric non-compliance with lot size. Therefore there is no planning purpose to be served by refusing the application. The proposal will not offend the objectives of the LEP lot size control or the objectives for development in Zone R2. The proposed variation does not give rise to matters of regional or state planning significance. Therefore a variation to the minimum lot size standard is considered reasonable and consistent with the requirements of Clause 4.6(3) of the LEP.	0.60%	Council	18/03/2016
LDA2015/0649	62 & 63	12753		192-194	Quarry Rd	RYDE	2112	13: Subdivision only	RLEP	R2 Low Density Residential	4.1A - minimum allotment size	The noncompliance is inconsequential to the achievement of the objectives of Clause 4.1, and the residential zoning of the site. The justification provided for the departure from the development standard is considered well founded as it provides explanation for the departure and addresses the constraints of the site while also addressing how the proposal meets the objectives of RLEP 2014.	9.00%	Council	23/02/2016